ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 2374.25

CUSTOMER NO.: 24040

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: MATSUO et al.)
S.N.: 10/572,735) Examiner: Jaydi San Martin
Filed: March 21, 2006) Art Unit: 2834
Confirmation No: 6904)
For: PIEZOELECTRIC TRANSFORMER DRIVING APPARATUS AND PIEZO- ELECTRIC TRANSFORMER DRIVING METHOD))))

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.312

M/S: Amendment (1.312) Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant thanks the examiner for the notice of allowance issued in the above case; however, applicant finds that both the examiner and applicant's representative made a mistake in the examiner's amendment discussed on May 28, 2009.

Specifically, in claims 3 and 5, the claims are directed to the third piezoelectric transformer, not the second piezoelectric transformer. Accordingly, the amendment herein is presented to correct the inadvertent error in the examiner's amendment.

<u>AMENDMENT A</u> (37 C.F.R. 1.111)

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend claims 3 and 5 in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.121.

The claims are attached herein on separate sheets.

Very respectfully,

Dennis G. LaPointe LaPointe Law Group, P.L. P.O. Box 1294 Tarpon Springs, FL 34688

(727) 943-9300 Reg. No. 40,693